

Teresa M. Corbin (SBN 132360)  
Denise M. De Mory (SBN 168076)  
Jaclyn C. Fink (SBN 217913)  
HOWREY LLP  
525 Market Street, Suite 3600  
San Francisco, California 94105  
Telephone: (415) 848-4900  
Facsimile: (415) 848-4999

Attorneys for Plaintiff SYNOPSYS, INC.  
and for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED,  
AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX  
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX  
GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL  
CORP., MATROX TECH, INC. and AEROFLEX  
COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,

Plaintiff,

VS.

AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al.,

## Defendants.

Case No. C03-04669 MJJ (EMC)

Case No. C03-2289 MJJ (EMC)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO  
AMEND THEIR ANSWERS AND  
COUNTERCLAIMS**

SYNOPSYS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

VS.

RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,

Defendant.

Date: December 13, 2005

Time: 9:30 a.m.

Courtroom: 11, 19<sup>th</sup> Floor

Judge: Martin J. Jenkins

Case Nos. C03-4669 MJJ (EMC) and C03-2289 MJJ (EMC)  
[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS'  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND  
DM UC03-2289-1

1 On November 8, 2005, Defendants Aeroflex Incorporated, AMI Semiconductor, Inc., Matrox  
 2 Electronic Systems, Ltd., Matrox Graphics, Inc., Matrox International Corp., Matrox Tech, Inc., and  
 3 Aeroflex Colorado Springs, Inc. (collectively the "Customer Defendants") filed a Motion For Leave  
 4 To Amend Their Answers And Counterclaims. The matter, having been fully briefed, came before the  
 5 Court on December 13, 2005.

6 The motion, the memoranda, and supporting evidence of the parties having been considered,  
 7 and oral argument having been heard, the Court GRANTS Customer Defendants' Motion For Leave  
 8 To Amend Their Answers And Counterclaims.

9 This order is a final determination of the issues raised in Customer Defendants' Motion For  
 10 Leave To Amend Their Answers And Counterclaims, and these issues are not subject to future  
 11 proceedings prior to a final order of judgment in this case.

12  
 13 IT IS SO ORDERED.  
 14

15 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

16 \_\_\_\_\_  
 17 The Honorable Martin J. Jenkins  
 18 HOWREY LLP United States District Court Judge  
 19  
 20

Submitted November 8, 2005 by:  
 HOWREY LLP

By:/s/  
 21 Denise M. De Mory  
 22 Attorneys for Defendants  
 23 AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, AMI  
 24 SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX  
 25 ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX  
 GRAPHICS INC., MATROX  
 INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX  
 TECH, INC., and AEROFLEX COLORADO  
 SPRINGS, INC.